Consultation Response Form

The UK Environment Agencies are inviting written (preferably by email) responses to this consultation by <u>Friday 14 January 2011</u>. To aid analysis of responses, it would be helpful if you could submit your response using the form below. Please provide details and evidence, as appropriate, to support your response in the space provided, continuing onto a separate sheet of paper if necessary.

Please send your completed Consultation Response Form, including any supplementary information you may wish to include, to:

QEconsultation@sepa.org.uk

Or

QE Consultation
Radioactive Substances Policy Unit
Scottish Environment Protection Agency
Erskine Court
Castle Business Park
Stirling
FK9 4TR

Name of contact:		
Name of Organisation (if applicable):		
Address:		
Telephone number:		
Email address:		
Please cross one box from the following list that best describes you:	 ☐ Individual ☐ Small to Medium Enterprise ☐ Large Enterprise ☐ Professional Society ☐ Legal Representative ☐ Interest Group 	 ☐ Regional Organisation ☐ Devolved Administration ☐ Local Government ☐ Central Government ☐ Other (Please specify):

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1	There are benefits in clarifying the expectations of the environment agencies on QEs.					
	Supporting information:					
2	Experience is a key component of competence.					
	Supporting information:		,			,

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
3	The proposed scope of the competence framework (Annex A) is appropriate to the role of an adviser to employers on radioactive waste management and environmental radiation protection.					
	Supporting information:					
4	The syllabus fully describes the knowledge and experience requirements of a Qualified Expert.					
	Supporting information:				·	

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
5	The adoption of the GA, BU, DU approach is appropriate.					
	Supporting information:					
6	The three levels of experience proposed are appropriate.					
	Supporting information:					

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
7	There is a high degree of alignment between the RPA and QE syllabuses and so the effort required to "extend" an RPA to a QE is likely to be tolerable.					
	Supporting information:					
8	The clarification of the competences of a Qualified Expert and the proposal to leave the decision about suitability with the employer will result in increased clarity and improved consistency.					
	Supporting information:					

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
9	It will be beneficial if all three environment agencies take a similar approach to assessing suitability.					
	Supporting information:					
10	It is not appropriate for the environment agencies to prescribe a re-assessment process - that should be left to approved Assessing Bodies.					
	Supporting information:				1	

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
11	The proposed level of prescriptiveness for the environment agencies Approval Board for Assessing Bodies is appropriate.					
	Supporting information:					
12	The proposed scope of interest of the Approval Board is appropriate.					
	Supporting information:					

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
13	The proposed function of an Assessing Body is appropriate.					
	Supporting information:					
14	The proposals for Corporate Qualified Experts and the arrangements for approving them are appropriate.					
	Supporting information:					

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
15	There are no other key organisations that need to input to the implementation of the proposed arrangements. Supporting information:					
16	The proposed timescale for implementation of the proposals is appropriate.					
	Supporting information:			1		

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
17	The proposals for "grandfather rights" are suitable and reasonable.					
	Supporting information:					
18	It is appropriate that a certified RPA should be given a credit for their RPA competences when they are considered for Qualified Expert status.					
	Supporting information:					

No	Proposition	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
19	How credit for RPA competences is given is an issue for the Assessing Bodies not the environment agencies.					
	Supporting information:					
20	The proposed term "Radioactive Waste Adviser (RWA)" is a suitable title for a person fulfilling the role of a Qualified Expert for the purposes of environmental radiation protection and radioactive waste management.					
	Supporting information:					