

STACK EMISSIONS MONITORING REPORT



2-4 Langlands Place
Kelvin South Business Park
East Kilbride
G75 0YF
Tel: 01355 246 730
Fax: 01355 249 669

Your contact at SOCOTEC LTD

Tel: 01355 246 730
Fax: 01355 249 669
Email: [REDACTED]@socotec.com

Operator & Address:

Exxon Mobil
Fife Ethylene Plant
Mossmorran
Cowdenbeath
KY4 8EP

Permit Reference:

PPC Permit: PPC/A/1013494

Release Point:

Boiler A

Sampling Date(s):

3rd December 2021

SOCOTEC Job Number:	LEK 13053
Report Date:	06-Jan-22
Version:	1
Report By:	[REDACTED]
MCERTS Number:	[REDACTED]
MCERTS Level:	MCERTS Level 2 - Team Leader
Technical Endorsements:	1, 2, 3 & 4
Report Approved By:	[REDACTED]
MCERTS Number:	[REDACTED]
Business Title:	[REDACTED]
Technical Endorsements:	1, 2, 3 & 4
Signature:	[REDACTED]



CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Stack Emissions Monitoring Objectives

- Plant
- Operator
- Stack Emissions Monitoring Test House

Emissions Summary

Monitoring Times

Process Details

Monitoring Methods

Analytical Methods

- Sampling Methods with Subsequent Analysis
- On-Site Testing

Sampling Location

- Sampling Plane Validation Criteria
- Duct Characteristics
- Sampling Lines & Sample Points
- Sampling Platform
- Sampling Location / Platform Improvement Recommendations

Sampling and Analytical Method Deviations

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MONITORING OBJECTIVES

Exxon Mobil operates a boiler process at Fife Ethylene Plant which is subject to PPC Permit PPC/A/1013494, under the PPC (Scotland) Regulations 2012.

SOCOTEC LTD were commissioned by Exxon Mobil to carry out stack emissions monitoring to determine the release of prescribed pollutants from the following Plant under normal operating conditions.

The results of these tests shall be used to demonstrate compliance with a set of emission limit values for prescribed pollutants as specified in the Plant's PPC Permit, PPC/A/1013494.

Plant

Boiler A

Operator

Exxon Mobil
Fife Ethylene Plant
Mossmorran
Cowdenbeath
KY4 8EP

PPC Permit: PPC/A/1013494

Stack Emissions Monitoring Test House

SOCOTEC - East Kilbride Laboratory
2-4 Langlands Place
Kelvin South Business Park
East Kilbride
G75 0YF
UKAS and MCERTS Accreditation Number: 1015

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.
The results of this testing relate only to the emission release point(s) listed in the report.
MCERTS accredited results will only be claimed where both the sampling and analytical stages are MCERTS accredited.
This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of SOCOTEC LTD.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

EMISSIONS SUMMARY					
Parameter	Units	Result	Calculated Uncertainty +/-	Emission Limit Value (ELV)	Accreditation
Total Particulate Matter	mg/m ³	1.66	0.36	5	MCERTS
Particulate Emission Rate	g/hr	66.1	14.1	-	
Sulphur Dioxide	mg/m ³	1.95	0.21	35	MCERTS
Sulphur Dioxide Emission Rate	g/hr	77.4	8.29	-	
Oxides of Nitrogen (as NO ₂)	mg/m ³	232	4.90	300	MCERTS
Oxides of Nitrogen (as NO ₂) Emission Rate	g/hr	8992	190	-	
Carbon Monoxide	mg/m ³	1.25	2.85	-	MCERTS
Carbon Monoxide Emission Rate	g/hr	48.4	111	-	
Oxygen	% v/v	3.82	0.01	6	MCERTS
Moisture	%	12.1	0.37	-	MCERTS
Stack Gas Temperature	°C	144	-	-	MCERTS
Stack Gas Velocity	m/s	10.2	0.26	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate (Actual)	m ³ /hr	73771	3822	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate (STP, Wet)	m ³ /hr	46757	2422	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate (STP, Dry)	m ³ /hr	41112	2130	-	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate at Reference Conditions	m ³ /hr	38828	2012	-	

ND = None Detected,

Results at or below the limit of detection are highlighted by bold italic text.

The above volumetric flow rate is an average of the data collected during the isokinetic tests. Mass emissions for non isokinetic tests are also calculated using these values.

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa, dry gas 3% Oxygen.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MONITORING TIMES			
Parameter	Sampling Date(s)	Sampling Times	Sampling Duration
Total Particulate Matter Run 1	03 December 2021	11:47 - 12:51	64 minutes
Sulphur Dioxide Run 1	03 December 2021	11:47 - 12:51	64 minutes
Combustion Gases	03 December 2021	11:47 - 12:47	60 minutes
Preliminary Stack Traverse	03 December 2021	11:30 - 11:42	-

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PROCESS DETAILS

Parameter	Process Details
Description of process	Boiler
Continuous or batch	Continuous
Product Details	N/A
Part of batch to be monitored (if applicable)	While Boiler is in Manual Operation
Normal load, throughput or continuous rating	57.29% MCR
Fuel used during monitoring	1.99 T/h Fuel Gas
Abatement	None
Plume Appearance	None Visible

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Monitoring Methods

The selection of standard reference / alternative methods employed by SOCOTEC is determined, wherever possible by the hierarchy of method selection outlined in Environment Agency technical Guidance 'Monitoring stack emissions: techniques and standards for periodic monitoring'.

MONITORING METHODS							
Species	Method Standard Reference Method / Alternative Method	SOCOTEC Technical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	Method Accreditation	Limit of Detection (LOD)	Calculated MU +/- % Result	Calculated MU +/- % ELV
Total Particulate Matter	SRM - BS EN 13284-1	AE 104	1015	MCERTS	0.18 mg/m ³	21.4%	7.13%
Sulphur Dioxide	SRM - BS EN 14791	AE 112	1015	MCERTS	0.013 mg/m ³	10.7%	0.59%
Oxides of Nitrogen	SRM - BS EN 14792:2017	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	0.53 mg/m ³	2.1%	1.63%
Carbon Monoxide	SRM - BS EN 15058:2017	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	0.29 mg/m ³	228.7%	N/A - No ELV
Oxygen	AM - BS EN 14789:2017	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	0.01%	0.4%	N/A - No ELV
Moisture	BS EN 14790	AE 105	1015	MCERTS	0.01%	3.0%	N/A - No ELV
Velocity	SRM - EN ISO 16911-1	AE 154	1015	MCERTS	5 Pa	2.5%	N/A - No ELV
Volumetric Flow Rate	SRM - EN ISO 16911-1	AE 154	1015	MCERTS	-	5.2%	N/A - No ELV

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Analytical Methods

The following tables list the analytical methods employed together with the custody details. Unless otherwise stated the samples are archived at the analysis lab location.

SAMPLING METHODS WITH SUBSEQUENT ANALYSIS							
Species	Analytical Technique	Analytical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	Analysis Accreditation	Analysis Lab	Analysis Report number	Archive Period
Total Particulate Matter	Gravimetric	AE 106	1015	MCERTS	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	N/A	8 Weeks
Sulphur Dioxide	Ion Chromatography	ASC/SOP/110	1252	UKAS	SOCOTEC (Bretby)	ASC51913	8 Weeks

ON-SITE TESTING							
Species	Analytical Technique	Analytical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	Accreditation	Laboratory	Data Archive Location	Archive Period
Oxides of Nitrogen	Chemiluminescence	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	5 years
Carbon Monoxide	Non Dispersive Infra Red	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	5 years
Oxygen	Zirconia Cell	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	5 years
Moisture	Gravimetric	AE 105	1015	MCERTS	SOCOTEC (East Kilbride)	-	-

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SAMPLING LOCATION					
Sampling Plane Validation Criteria	Value	Units	Requirement	Compliant	Method
Lowest Differential Pressure	56	Pa	≥ 5 Pa	Yes	BS EN 15259
Lowest Gas Velocity	9.8	m/s	-	-	-
Highest Gas Velocity	10.7	m/s	-	-	-
Ratio of Gas Velocities	1.1	: 1	$< 3 : 1$	Yes	BS EN 15259
Mean Velocity	10.2	m/s	-	-	-
Maximum angle of flow with regard to duct axis	< 15	$^{\circ}$	$< 15^{\circ}$	Yes	BS EN 15259
No local negative flow	Yes	-	-	Yes	BS EN 15259

DUCT CHARACTERISTICS		
	Value	Units
Shape	Circular	-
Depth	1.60	m
Width	-	m
Area	2.01	m ²
Port Depth	250	mm

SAMPLING LINES & POINTS		
	Isokinetic	Non-Iso & Gases
Sample port size	6" Flange	6" Flange
Number of lines used	1	1
Number of points / line	8	1
Duct orientation	Vertical	Vertical
Filtration	In Stack	Out Stack
Filtration for TPM	Quartz Filter	Glass Wool

SAMPLING PLATFORM	
General Platform Information	
Permanent / Temporary Platform / Ground level / Floor Level / Roof	Temporary
Inside / Outside	Outside

M1 Platform requirements	
Is there a sufficient working area so work can be performed in a compliant manner	Yes
Platform has 2 levels of handrails (approximately 0.5 m & 1.0 m high)	Yes
Platform has vertical base boards (approximately 0.25 m high)	Yes
Platform has removable chains / self closing gates at the top of ladders	Yes
Handrail / obstructions do not hamper insertion of sampling equipment	Yes
Depth of Platform = $>$ Stack depth / diameter + wall and port thickness + 1.5m	No

Sampling Platform Improvement Recommendations (if applicable)

Where possible a sampling location should be designed to meet all the requirements specified in BS EN 15259.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sampling & Analytical Method Deviations

Number of Sample Lines

Only one sample line (Line B) is accessible for sampling as the flange cap on line A could not be removed. The number of sample points on line B was therefore doubled in accordance with MID BS EN 13284.

APPENDICES

CONTENTS

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

MONITORING SCHEDULE					
Species	Method Standard Reference Method / Alternative Method	SOCOTEC Technical Procedure	UKAS Lab Number	MCERTS Accredited Method	Number of Samples
Total Particulate Matter	SRM - BS EN 13284-1	AE 104	1015	MCERTS	1
Sulphur Dioxide	SRM - BS EN 14791	AE 112	1015	MCERTS	1
Oxides of Nitrogen	SRM - BS EN 14792:2017	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	1
Carbon Monoxide	SRM - BS EN 15058:2017	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	1
Oxygen	AM - BS EN 14789:2017	AE 102	1015	MCERTS	1
Moisture	BS EN 14790	AE 105	1015	MCERTS	1
Velocity	SRM - EN ISO 16911-1	AE 154	1015	MCERTS	1

APPENDIX 1 - Monitoring Schedule, Calibration Checklist & Monitoring Team

CALIBRATEABLE EQUIPMENT CHECKLIST					
Extractive Sampling		Instrumental Analyser/s		Miscellaneous	
Equipment	Equipment I.D.	Equipment	Equipment I.D.	Equipment	Equipment I.D.
Control Box DGM	LEK 9.61	Horiba PG-250 Analyser	LEK 12.2	Laboratory Balance	LEK 15.21
Box Thermocouples	LEK 9.62	FT-IR Gasmet	-	Tape Measure	LEK 20.15
Meter In Thermocouple	LEK 9.62	FT-IR Oven Box	-	Stopwatch	LEK 17.34
Meter Out Thermocouple	LEK 9.62	Bernath 3006 FID	-	Protractor	-
Control Box Timer	LEK 17.34	Signal 3030 FID	-	Barometer	LEK 16.11
Oven Box	-	Servomex	-	Digital Micromanometer	LEK 1.18
Probe	LEK 6.7	JCT Heated Head Filter	-	Digital Temperature Meter	LEK LNO 03-CD
Probe Thermocouple	LEK 3.146	Thermo FID	-	Stack Thermocouple	LEK 3.126
Probe	-	Stackmaster	-	Mass Flow Controller	-
Probe Thermocouple	-	FTIR Heater Box for Heated Line	-	MFC Display module	-
S-Pitot	LEK 6.7	Anemometer	-	1m Heated Line (1)	-
L-Pitot	-	Ecophysics NOx Analyser	-	1m Heated Line (2)	-
Site Balance	LEK 23.20	Chiller (JCT/MAK 10)	LEK 12.10	1m Heated Line (3)	-
Last Impinger Arm	-	Heated Line Controller (1)	LEK 8.40	5m Heated Line (1)	LEK 8.34
Dioxins Cond. Thermocouple	-	Heated Line Controller (2)	LEK 8.48	10m Heated Line (1)	-
Callipers	LEK 15.1G	Site temperature Logger	-	10m Heated Line (2)	-
Small DGM	-			15m Heated Line (1)	-
Heater Controller	-			20m Heated Line (1)	LEK 8.48
Inclinometer (Swirl Device)	LEK 24.14			20m Heated Line (2)	-

NOTE: If the equipment I.D is represented by a dash (-), then this piece of equipment has not been used for this test.

CALIBRATION GASES					
Gas (traceable to ISO 17025)	Cylinder I.D Number	Supplier	ppm	%	Analytical Tolerance +/- %
Oxygen	Fresh Air	BOC	-	20.95	-
Nitric Oxide	LEK 165	BOC	198.8	-	2.0
Carbon Monoxide	LEK 260	BOC	162.6	-	2.0

STACK EMISSIONS MONITORING TEAM

MONITORING TEAM								
Personnel	MCERTS Number	MCERTS		TE / H&S Qualifications and Expiry Date				
		Level	Expiry	TE1	TE2	TE3	TE4	H&S
		MCERTS Level 2	May-26	May-26	Nov-26	Dec-26	Mar-22	Oct-25
		MCERTS Level 2	Aug-21	Dec-25	Mar-24	Dec-21	Aug-21	Jan-24

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER SUMMARY

Parameter	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	Uncertainty mg/m ³	ELV mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	11:47 - 12:51 03 December 2021	1.66	0.36	5	66.1
Blank	-	1.67	-	-	-

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa, dry gas 3% Oxygen.

Acetone Blank Value mg/l	Acceptable Value mg/l
0.3	10

FILTER INFORMATION

SAMPLES

Test	Filter & Probe Rinse Number	Filter Start Weight	Filter End Weight	Mass Gained on Filter	Probe Rinse Start Weight	Probe Rinse End Weight	Mass Gained on Probe	Combined Total Mass Gained
		g	g	g	g	g	g	g
Run 1	AQ 4475	0.14457	0.14466	0.00009	188.46900	188.47070	0.00170	0.00179

If total mass gained is less than the LOD then the LOD is reported

BLANKS

Test	Filter & Probe Number	Filter Start Weight	Filter End Weight	Mass Gained Filter	Probe Start Weight	Probe End Weight	Mass Gained Probe	Combined Total Mass Gained
		g	g	g	g	g	g	g
Run 1	AQ 4473	0.14637	0.14676	0.00039	158.49400	158.49550	0.00150	0.00189

If total mass gained is less than the LOD then the LOD is reported

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

ISOKINETIC SAMPLING EQUATIONS - RUN 1				TPM
Absolute pressure of stack gas, P_s				
Barometric pressure, P _b	Kpa	98.1		
Stack static pressure, P _{static}	pa	-75.0		
P _s = P _b + P _{static}	Kpa	98.0		
Vol. of water vapour collected, V_{wstd}				
Moisture trap weight increase, V _{lc}	g	124.6		
V _{wstd} = (0.001246)(V _{lc})	m ³	0.1552516		
Volume of gas metered dry, V_{mstd}				
Volume of gas sample through gas meter, V _m		1.242		
Gas meter correction factor, Y _d		0.978		
Mean dry gas meter temperature, T _m		285		
Mean pressure drop across orifice, DH	mmH ₂ O	47.205		
V _{mstd} = $\frac{(0.3592)(V_m)(P_b + (DH/13.6))(Y_d)}{T_m}$	m ³	1.131		
Volume of gas metered wet, V_{mstw}				
V _{mstw} = V _{mstd} + V _{wstd}	m ³	1.2859		
Vol. of gas metered at O₂ Ref. Cond., V_{mstd@X%O2}				
Is the process burning hazardous waste? (If yes, no favourable oxygen correction)	No			
% oxygen measured in gas stream, act%O ₂		3.8		
% oxygen reference condition		3		
O ₂ Reference O ₂ Ref = 21.0 - act%O ₂		0.95		
Factor $\frac{21.0 - \text{ref}\%O_2}{21.0 - \text{act}\%O_2}$				
V _{mstd@X%oxygen} = (V _{mstd}) (O ₂ Ref)	m ³	1.0773		
Moisture content, B_{wo}				
B _{wo} = $\frac{V_{wstd}}{V_{mstd} + V_{wstd}}$	%	12.07		
Moisture by FTIR				
	%	-		
Velocity of stack gas, V_s				
Velocity pressure coefficient, C _p		0.81		
Mean of velocity heads, DP _{avg}	Pa	65.05		
Mean stack gas temperature, T _s	K	417		
Gas density (wet, ambient), p				
p = (M _s *P _s)/(8.314*T _s)	kg/m ³	0.800		
Stack Velocity, V _s	$V_s = C_p \sqrt{\frac{\Delta DP_{avg}}{p}}$			
	m/s	10.34		
Molecular weight of dry gas, M_d				
CO ₂	%	9.51		
O ₂	%	3.85		
Total	%	13.36		
N ₂ (100 - Total)	%	86.64		
M _d = 0.44(%CO ₂) + 0.32(%O ₂) + 0.28(%N ₂)		29.68		
Molecular weight of wet gas, M_s				
M _s = M _d (1 - B _{wo}) + 18(B _{wo})	g/gmol	28.27		
Actual flow of stack gas, Q_a				
Area of stack, A _s	m ²	2.01		
Q _a = (60)(A _s)(V _s)	m ³ /min	1248.0		
Total flow of stack gas, Q				
Conversion factor (K/mm.Hg)		0.3592		
Q _{std} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1-B_{wo})}{(T_s)}$	Dry	695.4		
Q _{stdO2} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1-B_{wo})(O_2\text{REF})}{(T_s)}$	@O ₂ ref	662.61		
Q _{stw} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)}{(T_s)}$	Wet	790.92		
Percent isokinetic, %I				
Nozzle diameter, D _n	mm	8.00		
Nozzle area, A _n	mm ²	50.23		
Total sampling time, q	min	64		
%I = $\frac{(4.6398E6)(T_s)(V_{mstd})}{(P_s)(V_s)(A_n)(q)(1-B_{wo})}$	%	101.7		
Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%		Yes		
Particulate Concentration, C				
Mass collected on filter, M _f	g	0.00009		
Mass collected in probe, M _p	g	0.00170		
Total mass collected, M _n	g	0.00179		
C _{wet} = $\frac{M_n}{V_{mstw}}$	mg/m ³	1.392		
C _{dry} = $\frac{M_n}{V_{mstd}}$	mg/m ³	1.583		
C _{dry@X%O2} = $\frac{M_n}{V_{mstd@X\%oxygen}}$	mg/m ³	1.662		
Particulate Emission Rates, E				
E = [(C _{wet})(Q _{stw})(60)] / 1000		66.06		

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

LEAK RATE						
Run	Mean Sampling Rate litre/min	Pre-sampling Leak Rate litre/min	Post-sampling Leak Rate litre/min	Maximum Vacuum mm Hg	Acceptable Leak Rate litre/min	Leak Tests Acceptable?
Run 1	18.99	0.20	-	-635	0.38	Yes

In BS EN 13284-1:2017 a post sampling leak check is not required.

ISOKINETICITY		
Run	Isokinetic Variation %	Acceptable Isokineticity
Run 1	101.70	Yes

Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%

WEIGHING BALANCE UNCERTAINTY			
Run	Result mg/m ³	5% ELV mg/m ³	LOD < 5% ELV
Run 1	0.18	0.3	N/A - ELV <5 mg/m ³

The above is based on both the Filter and rinse uncertainty
Where installations have ELVs of 5 mg/m³ or less, it may not be practical to meet the 5% of ELV requirement. Under these circumstances, a minimum one hour sample time shall used.

BLANK VALUE				
Run	Overall Blank Value mg/m ³	Daily Emission mg/m ³	Acceptable Blank Value mg/m ³	Overall Blank Acceptable mg/m ³
Blank 1	1.67	5	1.0	No

*For ELVs of 5 mg/m³ and lower a blank value must be <20% of the ELV

FILTERS					
Run	Filter Material	Filter Size mm	Max Filtration Temperature °C	Pre-use Filter Conditioning Temperature °C	Post-use Filter Conditioning Temperature °C
Run 1	Quartz Fibre	47	144	180	160

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

SULPHUR DIOXIDE SUMMARY					
Test	Sampling Times	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	ELV mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Run 1	11:47 - 12:51 03 December 2021	1.95	0.013	35	77
Field Blank	-	0.089	-	-	-

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa, dry gas 3% Oxygen.

SULPHUR DIOXIDE QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

	Barometric Pressure Kpa	Average Oxygen Value for %	Total Sample Volume @ ref Conditions m ³	Mean Sampling Rate l/min	Pre sampling leak rate l/min	Post sampling leak rate l/min	Acceptable leak rate l/min	Leak Tests Acceptable?
Run 1	98.1	3.8	1.077	19.0	0.20	0.20	0.38	Yes

	Filter Material	Filter Size mm	Max. Filtration Temp. °C	Temperature during storage / transit <25°C	Type of Absorbers	Absorption Solutions
Run 1	Quartz Fibre	47	144	N/A	Glass	0.3% Hydrogen Peroxide

SULPHUR DIOXIDE ABSORPTION EFFICIENCY

Parameter	Total ug	IMP C ug	Absorption Efficiency %	Acceptable Absorption Efficiency %	Absorption Efficiency Acceptable ?
Run 1	2098.4	43.2	98	95	Yes

ND - None Detected

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

ISOKINETIC SAMPLING EQUATIONS 1			Sulphur Dioxide	
Absolute pressure of stack gas, P_s			Velocity of stack gas, V_s	
Barometric pressure, P _b	kPa	98	Velocity pressure coefficient, C _p	0.811
Stack static pressure, P _{static}	Pa	-75	Mean of velocity heads, DP _{avg}	Pa 65.05
P _s = P _b + (P _{static})	kPa	98.03	Mean stack gas temperature, T _s	K 416.69
Vol. of water vapour collected, V_{wstd}			Gas density (wet, ambient), ρ	kg/m ³ 0.800
Moisture trap weight increase, V _{lc}	g	-	p = (M _s *P _s)/(8.314*T _s)	
V _{wstd} = (0.001246)(V _{lc})	m ³	-	Stack Velocity, V _s	m/s 10.34
Volume of gas metered dry, V_{mstd}			Actual flow of stack gas, Q_a	
Volume of gas sample through gas meter, V _m	m ³	1.2424	Area of stack, A _s	m ² 2.01
Gas meter correction factor, Y _d		0.9783	Q _a = (60)(A _s)(V _s)	m ³ /min 1248
Mean dry gas meter temperature, T _m	K	285.44	Dry total flow of stack gas, Q_{std}	
Mean pressure drop across orifice, DH	mmH ₂ O	47.21	Conversion factor (K/mm.Hg)	0.3592
V _{mstd} = $\frac{(0.3592)(V_m)(P_b + (DH/13.6))(Y_d)}{T_m}$	m ³	1.13	Q _{std} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1-B_{wo})}{(T_s)}$	m ³ /min 695
Volume of gas metered wet, V_{mstw}			Wet total flow of stack gas, Q_{stw}	
V _{mstw} = V _{mstd} + V _{wstd}	m ³	1.2859	Q _{stw} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)}{(T_s)}$	m ³ /min 791
Vol. of gas metered at O₂ Ref. Cond., V_{mstd@X%O2}			Dry total flow of stack gas at X% O₂, Q_{stdO2}	
Is the process burning hazardous waste? (If yes, no favourable oxygen correction)	No		Q _{stdO2} = $\frac{(Q_a)P_s(0.3592)(1-B_{wo})(O_2REF)}{(T_s)}$	m ³ /min 663
% oxygen measured in gas stream, act%O ₂	3.85		Percent isokinetic, %I	
% oxygen reference condition	3		Nozzle diameter, D _n	mm 8.00
O ₂ Reference O ₂ Ref = 21.0 - act%O ₂	0.95		Nozzle area, A _n	mm ² 50.23
Factor 21.0 - ref%O ₂			Total sampling time, q	min 64
V _{mstd@X%oxygen} = (V _{mstd}) (O ₂ Ref)	m ³	1.0773	%I = $\frac{(4.6398E6)(T_s)(V_{mstd})}{(P_s)(V_s)(A_n)(q)(1-B_{wo})}$	% 102
Moisture content, B_{wo}			Acceptable isokinetic range 95% to 115%	
B _{wo} = $\frac{V_{wstd}}{V_{mstd} + V_{wstd}}$	%	12.07	Sulphur Dioxide Concentration, C	
Moisture by FTIR			Mass collected, M	ug 2098
Molecular weight of dry gas, M_d			C _{wet} = $\frac{M_n}{V_{mstw}}$	mg/m ³ 1.632
CO ₂	9.51		C _{dry} = $\frac{M_n}{V_{mstd}}$	mg/m ³ 1.856
O ₂	3.85		C _{dry@X%O2} = $\frac{M_n}{V_{mstd@X\%oxygen}}$	mg/m ³ 1.948
Total	13.36		Sulphur Dioxide Emission Rates, E	
N ₂ (100 -Total)	86.64		E = $\frac{[(C_{wet})(Q_{stw})(60)]}{1000}$	g/hr 77.44
M _d = 0.44(%CO ₂)+0.32(%O ₂)+0.28(%N ₂)	29.68			
Molecular weight of wet gas, M_s				
M _s = M _d (1 - B _{wo}) + 18(B _{wo})	g/gmol	28.3		

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

COMBUSTION GASES SUMMARY

Test	Sampling Time and Date	Concentration mg/m ³	LOD mg/m ³	ELV mg/m ³	Emission Rate g/hr
Oxides of Nitrogen	11:47 - 12:47 03 December 2021	232	0.53	300	8992
Carbon Monoxide	11:47 - 12:47 03 December 2021	1.2	0.29	-	48.4

Test	Sampling Time and Date	Concentration %	LOD %
Oxygen	11:47 - 12:47 03 December 2021	3.75	0.01

Reference conditions are 273K, 101.3kPa, dry gas 3% Oxygen.

PRE-SAMPLING CALIBRATION DATA

Date	03 December 2021
Start Time	10:19
End Time	10:49

Chiller Temperature (°C)	2.8
Requirement	< 4°C
Compliant	Yes

Gas	Range (ppm / %)	Zero Reading at analyser	Span Reading at analyser	Zero Check at analyser	Zero Check down line	Span Check down line	Response Time (Secs)	Leak Rate %
Nitric Oxide	250	0.00	198.8	0.00	0.00	199.1	36	-0.15
Carbon Monoxide	200	0.00	162.6	-0.10	0.00	161.3	31	0.80
Oxygen	25	0.00	20.95	0.01	0.09	20.94	44	0.05


POST-SAMPLING CALIBRATION DATA

Date	03 December 2021
Start Time	14:04
End Time	14:13


Chiller Temperature (°C)	2.5
Requirement	< 4°C
Compliant	Yes

Gas	Zero Check at Analyser	Span Check at Analyser	Zero Drift (%)	Span Drift (%)	Corrected for Zero Drift	Corrected for Span Drift	Corrected Values ppm / %
Nitric Oxide	-0.10	198.7	-0.05	0.00	x	x	N/A - not corrected
Carbon Monoxide	-0.40	161.2	-0.19	-0.68	x	x	N/A - not corrected
Oxygen	0.00	20.90	-0.05	-0.19	x	x	N/A - not corrected

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts
OXIDES OF NITROGEN (as NO₂) EMISSIONS CHART




CARBON MONOXIDE EMISSIONS CHART



APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

OXYGEN EMISSIONS CHART



APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

MOISTURE CALCULATIONS

Moisture Determination - Isokinetic							
Test Number	Sampling Time and Date	Start Weight	End Weight	Total gain	Concentration	LOD	Uncertainty
		kg	kg	kg	%	%	%
Run 1	11:47 - 12:51 03 December 2021	3.5476	3.6722	0.1246	12.1	0.01	3.0

Moisture Quality Assurance							
Test Number	Sampling Duration mins	Total Volume Sampled l	Sampling Rate l/min	Start Leak Rate l/min	End Leak Rate l/min	Acceptable Leak Rate l/min	Leak Tests Acceptable?
Run 1	64	1286	19.0	0.20	-	0.38	Yes

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY

Stack Characteristics		
Stack Diameter / Depth, D	1.60	m
Stack Width, W	-	m
Stack Area, A	2.01	m ²
Average stack gas temperature	144	°C
Stack static pressure	-0.075	kPa
Barometric Pressure	98.1	kPa

Stack Gas Composition & Molecular Weights								
Component	Molar Mass M	Density kg/m ³ p	Conc Dry % Vol	Dry Volume Fraction r	Dry Conc kg/m ³ pi	Conc Wet % Vol	Wet Volume Fraction r	Wet Conc kg/m ³ pi
CO ₂	44	1.963059	9.507143	0.095071	0.186631	8.359332	0.083593	0.164099
O ₂	32	1.427679	3.816277	0.038163	0.054484	3.355532	0.033555	0.047906
N ₂	28	1.249219	86.676580	0.866766	1.082781	76.211995	0.762120	0.952055
H ₂ O	18	0.803070	-	-	-	12.073140	0.120731	0.096956

Where: $p = M / 22.41$ $pi = r \times p$

Calculation of Stack Gas Densities		
Determinand	Result	Units
Dry Density (STP), P_{STD}	1.3239	kg/m ³
Wet Density (STP), P_{STW}	1.2610	kg/m ³
Dry Density (Actual), P_{Actual}	0.8391	kg/m ³
Average Wet Density (Actual), $P_{ActualW}$	0.799	kg/m ³

Where:

P_{STD} = sum of component concentrations, kg/m³ (not including water vapour)

$P_{STW} = (P_{STD} + pi \text{ of H}_2\text{O}) / (1 + (pi \text{ of H}_2\text{O} / 0.8036))$

$P_{Actual} = P_{STD} \times (Ts / Ps) \times (Pa / Ta)$

$P_{ActualW} = P_{STW} \times (Ts / Ps) \times (Pa / Ta)$

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY

TRAVERSE 1

Date of Survey	03 December 2021
Time of Survey	11:30 - 11:42
Velocity Measurement Device:	S-Type Pitot

Sampling Line A								
Traverse Point	Distance into duct (m)	DP pt Pa (average of 3 readings)	DP pt mmH ₂ O (average of 3 readings)	Temp °C	Velocity m/s	Volumetric Flow Rate (actual) m ³ /s	O ₂ % Vol	Angle of Swirl °
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Sampling Line B								
Traverse Point	Distance into duct (m)	DP pt Pa (average of 3 readings)	DP pt mmH ₂ O (average of 3 readings)	Temp °C	Velocity m/s	Volumetric Flow Rate (actual) m ³ /s	O ₂ % Vol	Angle of Swirl °
1	0.04	57.8	5.9	142	9.8	19.6	-	<15
2	0.13	60.4	6.2	142	10.0	20.1	-	<15
3	0.23	60.1	6.1	143	9.9	20.0	-	<15
4	0.36	63.0	6.4	144	10.2	20.5	-	<15
5	0.55	58.1	5.9	144	9.8	19.7	-	<15
6	1.05	62.7	6.4	144	10.2	20.4	-	<15
7	1.24	65.7	6.7	144	10.4	20.9	-	<15
8	1.37	67.9	6.9	145	10.6	21.3	-	<15
9	1.47	69.3	7.1	145	10.7	21.5	-	<15
10	1.56	66.6	6.8	145	10.5	21.1	-	<15
Mean	-	63.2	6.4	144	10.2	20.5	-	-

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY QUALITY ASSURANCE CHECKLIST

PITOT LEAK CHECK								
Run	Pre Traverse Leak Rate				Post Traverse Leak Rate			
	Start Value mmH ₂ O	End Value mmH ₂ O	Difference %	Outcome	Start Value mmH ₂ O	End Value mmH ₂ O	Difference %	Outcome
Run 1	129	129	0.5	Pass	156	155	0.7	Pass

To complete a compliant pitot leak check a pressure of over 80 mmH₂O (or 800 Pa) is applied and the pressure drop monitored over 5 mins. A drop of less than 5% must be observed.

S-Type Pitot Stagnation Check				
Run	Stagnation (Pa)	Reference (Pa)	Difference (Pa)	Outcome (Permitted +/- 10 Pa)
Run 1	-75	-78	3.0	Pass

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

PRELIMINARY STACK SURVEY (CONTINUED)

Sampling Plane Validation Criteria				
EA Technical Guidance Note (Monitoring) M1	Result	Units	Requirement	Compliant
Lowest Average Differential Pressure	58	Pa	≥ 5 Pa	Yes
Lowest Gas Velocity	9.8	m/s	-	-
Highest Gas Velocity	10.7	m/s	-	-
Ratio of Gas Velocities	1.1	-	$< 3 : 1$	Yes
Maximum angle of flow with regard to duct axis	< 15	$^{\circ}$	$< 15^{\circ}$	Yes
No local negative flow	Yes	-	-	Yes

Calculation of Stack Gas Velocity, V		
Velocity at Traverse Point, $V = K_{pt} \times (1-e) \times \sqrt{2 \times DP_{pt} / \rho_{ActualW}}$		
Where: K_{pt} = Pitot tube calibration coefficient $(1-e)$ = Compressibility correction factor, assumed at a constant 0.998		
Average Stack Gas Velocity, V_a	10.2	m/s

Calculation of Stack Gas Volumetric Flowrate, Q			
Duct gas flow conditions	Actual	Reference	Units
Temperature	144	0	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
Total Pressure	98.025	101.3	kPa
Oxygen	4.0	3	%
Moisture	12.07	0.00	%
Pitot tube calibration coefficient, K_{pt}	0.81		

Gas Volumetric Flowrate	Result	Units
Average Stack Gas Velocity (V_a)	10.19	m/s
Stack Area (A)	2.01	m^2
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (Actual), Q_{Actual}	73770.6	m^3/hr
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Wet), Q_{STP}	46756.9	m^3/hr
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (STP, Dry), $Q_{STP,Dry}$	41111.9	m^3/hr
Gas Volumetric Flowrate (REF), Q_{Ref}	38827.9	m^3/hr

Where:

$$Q_{Actual} = V_a \times A \times 3600$$

$$Q_{STP} = Q_{Actual} \times (T_s / T_a) \times (P_a / P_s) \times 3600$$

$$Q_{STP,Dry} = Q_{STP} / (100 - (100 / Ma)) \times 3600$$

$$Q_{Ref} = Q_{STP} \times ((100 - Ma) / (100 - Ms)) \times ((21 - O_{2a}) / (21 - O_{2s}))$$

Nomenclature:

T_s = Absolute Temperature, Standard Conditions, 273 K

P_s = Absolute Pressure, Standard Conditions, 101.3 kPa

T_a = Absolute Temperature, Actual Conditions, K

P_a = Absolute Pressure, Actual Conditions, kPa

Ma = Water vapour, Actual Conditions, % Vol

Ms = Water vapour, Reference Conditions, % Vol


O_{2a} = Oxygen, Actual Conditions, % Vol

O_{2s} = Oxygen, Reference Conditions, % Vol

APPENDIX 2 - Summaries, Calculations, Raw Data and Charts

STACK DIAGRAM

	Value	Units
Stack Depth	1.60	m
Stack Width	-	m
Area	2.01	m ²



- Isokinetic sampling point
- Isokinetic sampling points not used
- Non Isokinetic/Gases sampling point

Non-Isokinetic/Gases Sampling			
Sampling Point	Distance (% of Depth)	Distance into Stack	Units
A	50	0.80	m

[illegible]

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - TOTAL PARTICULATE MATTER

Run	Sampled Volume m ³	Sampled Gas Temp K	Sampled Gas Pressure kPa	Sampled Gas Humidity % by volume	Oxygen Content % by volume	Limit of Detection % by mass	Leak %	Uncollected Mass mg
MU required	≤ 2%	≤ 2%	≤ 1%	≤ 1%	≤ 10%	≤ 5% of ELV	≤ 2%	≤ 10% of ELV
Run 1	0.002	2.0	0.50	1.0	0.1	0.1900	-	-
as a %	0.20	0.48	0.51	1.0	2.60	3.52726	1.05	0.038
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

*Where installations have ELVs of 5 mg/m³ or less, it may not be practical to meet the 5% of ELV requirement. Under these circumstances, a minimum one hour sample time shall used.

Run	Volume (STP) m ³	Mass of particulate mg	O ₂ Correction -	Leak mg/m ³	Uncollected Mass mg	Combined uncertainty
Run 1	0.72	1.7900	1.0	0.0101	0.0011	-
MU as mg/m ³	0.02	0.1764	0.01	0.0101	0.0010	0.18
MU as %	1.26	10.6145	-	0.608	0.0610	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.36	mg/m³	21.44	% Result	7.13	% ELV
---	-------------	-------------------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)

Reference – SOCOTEC Technical Procedure AE150 Estimation of Uncertainty of Measurement

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - ISOKINETIC SULPHUR DIOXIDE

Run	Sampled Volume	Sampled Gas Temp	Sampled Gas Pressure	Sampled Gas Humidity	Oxygen Content	Limit of Detection	Leak
	m ³	K	kPa	% by volume	% by volume	% by mass	%
MU required	<=2%	<2.5 k	<=1%	<=1%	<=5%	≤ 5% of ELV	<=2%
Run 1	1.077	285	97.35	1.0	3.85	3.0	-
as a %	0.09	0.70	0.51	1.0	2.60	0.09	1.05
compliant?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Run	Volume (STP)	Mass of Sulphur Dioxide	O2 Correction	Leak	Lab Uncertainty	Combined uncertainty
	m ³	mg	-	mg/m ³	mg	
Run 1	0.9902	3.0149	1.0495	0.0118	-	-
MU as mg/m ³	0.0259	0.0332	0.0114	0.0118	0.0935	0.1038
MU as %	1.3285	1.7062	0.5831	0.6080	4.8	-

R1 - Uncertainty expressed at a 95% confidence level (where k = 2)	0.21	mg/m³	10.66	% Result	0.59	% ELV
---	-------------	-------------------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------

(k is a coverage factor which gives a 95% confidence in the quoted figures)

Reference – SOCOTEC Technical Procedure AE150 Estimation of Uncertainty of Measurement

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - OXIDES OF NITROGEN

Limit value	300	mg/m ³
Concentration @ Ref conditions	231.6	mg/m ³
Cal gas conc	408	mg/m ³
Analyser Full Scale	513	mg/m ³

	Value	Units	specification	MU Met?
Response time	36	seconds	180	Yes
Logger sampling interval	60	seconds	-	-
Measurement period	60	minutes	-	-
Number of readings in measurement	60	-	-	-
Repeatability at zero	0.11	% full scale	<1 % range	Yes
Repeatability at span level	0.1	% full scale	<2 % range	Yes
Deviation from linearity	-0.40	% of value	<2 % range	Yes
Zero drift	-0.05	% full scale	<2% range / 24hr	Yes
Span drift	0.00	% full scale	<2% range/24hr	Yes
volume or pressure flow dependence	0.25	% of full scale/3 kPa	<2 % / 3 kPa	Yes
atmospheric pressure dependence	0.25	% of full scale/2 kPa	<3% / 2 kPa	Yes
ambient temperature dependence zero / span	0.25	% full scale/10K	<3% range / 10 K	Yes
Combined interference	3.00	% range	<4% of Range	Yes
dependence on voltage	0.08	% full scale/10V	< 0.1%vol /10 volt	Yes
Influence of Vibration	N/A	% of upper limit of Cal range	<2%	-
losses in the line (leak)	0.08	% of value	< 2% of value	Yes

Performance characteristic	Uncertainty	Value of uncertainty quantity
repeatability	$U_r = S_r$	0.0037
lack of fit	U_{lof}	-0.2309
short term zero drift	$U_{d,z}$	-0.0290
short term span drift	$U_{d,s}$	0.0000
influence of Ambient Temp at Zero	$U_{t,z}$	0.0813
influence of Ambient Temp at Span	$U_{t,s}$	1.4639
influence of sample gas pressure	U_p	0.0000
influence of sample gas flow	U_{fit}	0.1732
influence of supply voltage	U_v	0.2388
Combined Interference	U_i	0.0042
Uncertainty of Cal gas	U_{adj}	1.9880

Measurement uncertainty (Concentration Measured)	231.58	mg/m ³
Combined uncertainty	2.50	mg/m ³
Expanded at a 95% confidence interval	4.90	mg/m ³

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	1.63	% ELV
--	------	-------

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	4.9	mg/m ³
--	-----	-------------------

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	2.1	% value
--	-----	---------

Developed for the STA by [REDACTED] NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - CARBON MONOXIDE

Limit value	-	mg/m ³
Concentration @ Ref conditions	1.2	mg/m ³
Cal gas conc	203.3	mg/m ³
Analyser Full Scale	250	mg/m ³

Performance characteristics	Value	Units	specification	MU Met?
Response time	31	seconds	180	Yes
Logger sampling interval	60	seconds	-	-
Measurement period	60	minutes	-	-
Number of readings in measurement	60	-	-	-
Repeatability at zero	0.1	% full scale	<1 % range	Yes
Repeatability at span level	0.2	% full scale	<2 % range	Yes
Deviation from linearity	0.61	% of value	<2 % range	Yes
Zero drift	-0.19	% full scale	<2% range / 24hr	Yes
Span drift	-0.68	% full scale	<2% range/24hr	Yes
volume or pressure flow dependence	0.2	% of full scale/3 kPa	<2 % / 3 kPa	Yes
atmospheric pressure dependence	0.44	% of full scale/2 kPa	<3% / 2 kPa	Yes
ambient temperature dependence zero / span	1	% full scale/10K	<3% range / 10 K	Yes
Combined interference	0.03	% of Range	<4% of Range	Yes
dependence on voltage	-0.06	% full scale/10V	< 0.1%vol /10 volt	Yes
Influence of Vibration	N/A	% of upper limit of Cal range	<2%	N/A
losses in the line (leak)	0.01	% of value	< 2% of value	Yes
Uncertainty of calibration gas	1.00	% of value	< 2% of value	Yes

N/A - Horiba's are not effected by Vibration

Performance characteristic	Uncertainty	Value of uncertainty quantity
repeatability	$U_r = S_r$	0.003
lack of fit	U_{lof}	0.12
short term zero drift	$U_{d,z}$	0.35
short term span drift	$U_{d,s}$	-0.11
influence of Ambient Temp zero	$U_{t,z}$	-0.13
influence of Ambient Temp span	$U_{t,s}$	0.12
influence of sample gas pressure	U_p	0.00
influence of sample gas flow	U_{fit}	0.14
influence of supply voltage	U_v	-0.09
Combined Interference	U_i	1.04
Uncertainty of Cal gas	U_{adj}	0.81

Measurement uncertainty (Concentration Measured)	1.2	mg/m ³
Combined uncertainty	1.4	mg/m ³
Expanded uncertainty	2.7	mg/m ³

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	-	% ELV
Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	2.7	mg/m ³
Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	228.7	% value

Developed for the STA by [REDACTED] NPL

Reference – SOCOTEC Technical Procedure AE150 Estimation of Uncertainty of Measurement

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - OXYGEN

Reference	3	%vol
Reported Concentration	3.75	%vol
Calibration gas	20.95	%vol
Analyser Full Scale	25	%vol

	Value	Units	specification	MU Met?
Response time	44	seconds	180	Yes
Logger sampling interval	60	seconds	-	-
Measurement period	60	minutes	-	-
Number of readings in measurement	60	-	-	-
Repeatability at zero	0.25	% full scale	<1 % range	Yes
Repeatability at span level	0.15	% full scale	<2 % range	Yes
Deviation from linearity	0.13	% of value	<2 % range	Yes
Zero drift	-0.05	% full scale	<2% range / 24hr	Yes
Span drift	-0.19	% full scale	<2% range/24hr	Yes
volume or pressure flow dependence	0.03	% of full scale/3 kPa	<2 % / 3 kPa	Yes
atmospheric pressure dependence	0.05	% of full scale/2 kPa	<3% / 2 kPa	Yes
ambient temperature dependence	-0.08	% full scale/10K	<3% range / 10 K	Yes
Combined interference	0.14	% range	<4% of Range	Yes
dependence on voltage	0.00	% full scale/10V	< 0.1%vol /10 volt	Yes
losses in the line (leak)	0.14	% of value	< 2% of value	Yes
Uncertainty of calibration gas	0.1	% of value	< 2% of value	Yes

Performance characteristic	Uncertainty	Value of uncertainty quantity
repeatability	$U_r = S_r$	0.0083
lack of fit	U_{lof}	0.0751
short term zero drift	$U_{d,z}$	-0.0276
short term span drift	$U_{d,s}$	-0.1102
influence of Ambient Temp at Zero	$U_{t,z}$	-0.0022
influence of Ambient Temp at Span	$U_{t,s}$	0.0059
influence of sample gas pressure	U_p	0.0000
influence of sample gas flow	U_{fit}	0.0173
influence of supply voltage	U_v	0.0001
Combined Interference	U_i	0.0485
Uncertainty of Cal gas	U_{adj}	0.1048

Measurement uncertainty (Concentration Measured)	3.75	%
Combined uncertainty	0.18	%
Expanded uncertainty	0.35	%

Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	0.4	%
Expanded uncertainty expressed with a level of confidence of 95%	9.39	% vol

Developed for the STA by [REDACTED] NPL

APPENDIX 3 - Measurement Uncertainty Budget Calculations

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY BUDGET - VELOCITY & VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE

Measured Velocity at Actual Conditions	10.2	m/s
Measured Volumetric Flow rate at Actual Conditions	73771	m ³ /hr

Performance Characteristics & Source of Value	Units	Values	Requirement	Compliant
Uncertainty of Local Gas Velocity Determination				
Uncertainty of pitot tube coefficient	-	0.010		
Uncertainty of mean local dynamic pressures	-	0.65		
Factor loading, function of the number of measurements.	3 readings	0.591	minimum 3	Yes
Range of measurement device	pa	1000		
Resolution	pa	1.00		
Calibration uncertainty	pa	11.06	<1% of Value or 20 Pa whichever is greater	Yes
Drift	% range	0.10		
Linearity	% range	0.06	<2% of value	Yes
Uncertainty of gas density determination				
Uncertainty of molar mass determination	kg/mol	0.00004		
Uncertainty of temperature measurement	K	2.13	<1% of value	Yes
Uncertainty of absolute pressure in the duct	pa	500		
Uncertainty associated with the estimate of density	-	0.008		
Uncertainty associated with the measurement of local velocity	-	0.0002		
Uncertainty associated with the measurement of mean velocity	-	0.0002		

Measurement Uncertainty - Velocity	m/s
Combined uncertainty	0.13
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	0.26

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty of Velocity at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Velocity	1.3
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	2.5

Measurement Uncertainty Volumetric Flow Rate	m ³ /hr
Combined uncertainty	1950
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	3822

Note - The expanded uncertainty uses a coverage factor of $k = 2$.

Expanded Measurement Uncertainty of Volumetric Flow Rate at a 95% Confidence Interval	%
Expressed as a % of the Measured Volumetric Flow Rate	2.6
Expanded uncertainty at a 95% Confidence Interval	5.2

Reference – SOCOTEC Technical Procedure AE150 Estimation of Uncertainty of Measurement

END OF REPORT

Thank you for choosing SOCOTEC for your environmental monitoring needs. We hope our services have met your requirements and that you are fully satisfied with your experience of working with us, we really do value your custom and would welcome your feedback. We would appreciate it if you could take a moment to complete a short online questionnaire so that we can improve our operations and address any areas that have not met with your expectations, by clicking on the following

https://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/r/CAE_customer_feedback_weblink