## Annex 3 Pollution Prevention and Control (PPC) - landfills (hazardous and non-hazardous)

SEPA Compliance Assessment

SEPA licence no.:	
Site name:	
Annual summary sheet for year:	
Completed by:	
Date:	

List of typical ELCs: Scope ELCs Numeric ELCs Causes Category 1 (major) or Category 2 (significant) environmental event Compliant with licence Four or more minor breaches See look-up table (Table 6) for number minor breaches constituting repeated minor breaches. Landfill gas monitoring and reporting 8.8.3/8.6.4 Inspection / CQA / operator monitoring records / SEPA monitoring Compliant with licence Exceeds the licensed limit but is less than twice the licensed (Table 6) for number minor breaches constituting repeated minor breaches. Causes Category 1 (major) Category 2 (significant) environmental event Biological oxygen demand (BOD) Numeric statistical ELCs Process ELCs (a & b) Failure to monitor or submit a report which would allow the licence holder to demonstrate compliance with a Proces ELC Causes Category 1 (major Category 2 (significant) environmental event Total waste quantity Annual waste quantity Waste data returns Compliant with licence As above Causes Category 1 (major) or Category 2 (significant) possible if annually assessed. environmental event Minor breaches not applicable as allowance made for some non-specified wastes entering site and being dealt with in accordance with procedures. Where this does not occur, then a significant breach occurs as operator has accepted the waste. Waste types 4.1.3 / 4.2.1 Weighbridge records / inspection Four or more mino breaches Causes Category 1 (maj Category 2 (significant) environmental event For leachate accumulation exceedances, four o more minor breache For the rest of the ondition, a repeated ninor is not applicab Capping Causes Category 1 (ma Category 2 (significant) environmental event Landfill gas management systems Process ELCs (c)-Water - descriptive conditions: No significant oil Equivalent to a category 1 or 2 environmental event. Continuous oil sheen in discharge and downstream, or presence of oil in stones and vegetation downstream (for a significant distance). No significant oil

No significant sewage solids			No significant sewage solids				Equivalent to a category 1 or 2 environmental event. Smothering of stream bed around outfall and or significant solids identifiable downstream. Equivalent to a category 1 or	
No significant discoloration		Inspection	No significant discoloration	N/A	N/A	N/A	Equivalent to a category 1 or 2 environmental event. Discoloration visible for a distance of greater than one river width downstream.	
No significant foaming			No significant foaming				Equivalent to a category 1 or 2 environmental event. Significant difference downstream compared to upstream.	
No significant sewage fungus			No significant sewage fungus				Equivalent to a category 1 or 2 environmental event. Bed of stream blanketed with sewage fungus or tufts of fungus visible over greater than one river width downstream.	
Environmental Events (classified according to table 5)								
Environmental harm	1.11	Inspection / environmental events		Minor environmental event (category 3) which is not caused by discharge in full compliance with licensed conditions (e.g. due to a spillage on site etc) and which is not accounted for elsewhere.	Four or more minor breaches		Major (category 1) or Significant (category 2) environmental event which is not caused by discharge is full compliance with licensed conditions and not accounted for elsewhere	

				caused by discharge in full compliance with licensed		environmental event which is not caused by discharge
				conditions (e.g. due to a		is full compliance with
				spillage on site etc) and which is not accounted for		licensed conditions and not accounted for elsewhere
				elsewhere.		
Overall ELC band						
Environmental managemen	t					
EMC attribute	Applicable to this site? /	Compliant	Minor non-compliance	Major non-compliance	Comments	
	Licence condition no.					
Management	condition no.					
Knowledge of licence by appropriate staff		Relevant staff have a detailed knowledge of the permit and/or its requirements (so through written procedures) and operate	Current permit difficult to obtain	Current permit unobtainable		
Sidii		the procedures to ensure compliance with the permit. It is good	Only the 'responsible' person			
		practice to train all relevant (including operational) staff on the regulatory implications of the permit and all potential	deals with permit issues			
		environmental impacts (under normal and abnormal circumstances).				
Management plan		Appropriate management plan(s) in place; properly resourced	Management plan is poorly	No management plan		
		and monitored.	resourced for achievement.			
Managerial structure / commitment		Effective EMS in place that includes an environmental policy	EMS in place, but is incomplete or	No FMS		
managenai structure / commisment		and programme, and a commitment by the operator to	inappropriate for the installation (ie			
		continual improvement and prevention of pollution.	overly simplistic for a complex activity). EMS may not be fully	No / limited procedures exist to ensure compliance with the		
		EMS identifies and sets the management structure including the setting, monitoring and review of environmental objectives	effective or there is no evidence of the operator committing to it	permit		
		(including compliance with permit conditions) and key performance indicators	No clear allocation of	No commitment to environmental compliance		
		Operator has demonstrable procedures (eg written instructions)	responsibilities for environmental performance including compliance	Systems and procedures in		
		that incorporate environmental considerations into process control, design, construction, maintenance, capital projects	with permit	place but unfit for purpose or are not used		
		(including decommissioning) etc.	Environmental policy available but	are not used		
		Environmental objectives are set, monitored and reviewed.	compliance/commitment not evident			
			Defined management structure but			
			limited organisation commitment to permit compliance			
Staffing		to manage and mitigate environmental risks involved in	EMS recognises requirement for assessment of staffing levels but	No assessment of required minimum staffing levels		
		operation of installation.	no formal assessment has been undertaken	Installation operated with low		
		EMS contains procedures and actions to take in the event of staffing levels falling below the minimum required.	Occasionally the installation	level of staffing such that environmental risk cannot be		
		Staff are competent in their required roles.	operates with lower levels of	reduced or mitigated.		
		Staff are competent in their required roles.	staffing than required	Staff are not competent in their role		
				Contractors/visitors not properly controlled/supervised.		
Training		Training should include the EMS and written procedures including: operational procedures, those associated with a	Some general environmental training given.	No environmental training available.		
		breach of permit condition, prevention of accidental emissions and actions to be taken when accidental emissions occur		No assessment of training		
			Some basic training requirements identified and given.	needs made.		
		All relevant staff have training needs identified and are receiving relevant training in accordance with their job function	Limited environmental training	No training/induction of		
		to ensure suitable competence.	available to managers.	contractors/visitors.		
		All managers have environmental training suitable for the position in the organisation.	Training of contractors/visitors limited.			
		A contractor/visitor site induction/training programme is in				
		place and used.				
Monitoring and control systems /		Documented operating procedures for process operations in	Frequent process deviations.	No management of change		
procedures		place and used effectively (including emission monitoring systems) to monitor on a regular basis the performance against	Management of change procedure	system in place.		
		the permit.	prepared but not used.	No system to change operations due to complaints,		
		Responsible person/managers aware of all environmental effects from operation under normal and abnormal	Poor control of process operations, shift handovers or non	near misses or incidents.		
		circumstances.	routine operations.	Ongoing process deviations.		
		Infrequent process deviations.		Very poor control of process		
		Good control of process operation, shift handover and non-		operations, shift handovers or non routine operations.		
		routine operations.		No / poorly written procedures		
				or instructions where they have been deemed		
				necessary.		

Emergency response procedures // Accident plans / response to incident (short term)  Plant and infrastructure  Condition of plant, equipment and infrastructure	Emergency resporse procedures in place that clearly identify environmental implications and actions to take with suitable testing regime.  Accident plan in place that includes risk assessments of torsessable scenarios to minimise potential occurrences and/or impacts.  Incident information is used to prevent repetition of incidents. Near mise reporting is used to precedinely control incident accident plans include approach community relations.  Timely response to incidents to prevent and militigate the impacts of the retense. Follow-up investigations and reports proposed, doi: previous promptly resudence promptly investigations and reports proposed, doi: previous promptly investigations and reports or incidents and response the proposed of the previous promptly resudence and response the proposed.	Limited accident plan in place with commitmal task assessment of touceseable accentificate and selficion for the accentification for the a	No emergency response procedures.  No / ineffectual response to incidents.  Plant and equipment subject to frequent breakdowns and/or to frequent breakdowns and/or the emolycomes of the permit.  Size infrastructure (eg) bunding, drains, interceptors, etc.) not fit for purpose.	
Monitoring equipment	Monitoring equipment required by permit installed and operating with few, if any, breakdowns.	Monitoring equipment required by permit installed but unreliable and subject to frequent breakdowns.	Monitoring equipment required by permit not installed or not working for extended periods of time.	
Nationarion of processes, plant and installation to relevant standards.	Maintenance programme in place and implemented.  Maintenance programme takes into account environmental effects of breakdowns and maintenance operations.  Maintenance programme contains systems to analyse faults and to prevent recurrences.  Appropriate precautions in place to prevent pollution due to maintenance accidites.  L'accretioned precautions in place to prevent pollution due to maintenance accidites are small and implementary and intenance accidites are small and implementary acciding and intenance acciding acciding and intenance acciding acciding and acciding acc	Maintenance programme not suly implemented.  Maintenance programme based with a substance of the substance o	High frequency of breakdown's maintenance resulting in environmental incidents. Maintenance takes no incidents. Maintenance takes no account of environmental effects of plant breakdowns. No coherent maintenance programme in place. Equipment performance deteriorates significantly activities. No exception of the programment of the committenance activities.	
Implementation of monitoring / testing / calibration programmes	Required monitoring programmes undertaken on time to the recognised or agreed standard	Required monitoring programmes undertaken but not to the frequency specified in the permit or on time or not to a recognised standard.	No ongoing calibration of monitoring equipment.  Required monitoring programmes not undertaken.	
Spare part availability	All relevant plant and equipment scheduled into a maintenance programme.  Critical environmental plant spare parts held on site or easily available.	Spares not readily available.	No assessment of critical spare parts.  Frequent breakdowns and delays repairing plant due to lack of spare parts or delays in getting spare parts.	
Reporting and recording				
Record keeping	Records kept in systematic manner.  Records kept as specified in permit.  Records legible.  Training records maintained.	Some records not kept or do not comply with the requirements of the permit.	Failure to record a significant proportion of data required by the permit.	
Information and records available as required by licence	Information and records readily available. Training records available.  Permit information used to improve performance.  Relevant staff are aware of recorded information.	Records and information available but incomplete or inadequate quality for compliance with permit. Limited use of information in process management.	Limited or non-existent monitoring records.	
Reports to SEPA required under licence	Reports are prepared and submitted on time, in the format specified and are of appropriate quality and content.	Reports are prepared but submitted late, or do not follow the format specified or are not of the appropriate quality and content.	Non-ELC reports are not prepared or submitted, or where any reports are submitted they are of very poor quality or content.	
Notification of incidents	acidents are notified promptly and include the required information specified in the permit.  Operator is preactive in discussing incidents and events.	Notification of incidents is not consistent (e some are notified but not all). Incident notifications do not comply with the information requirements specified in the permit.	Incidents are not notified	
Overall EMC band		J		

Overall compliance band